the record on November 18, 2011. (Doc. 31.) The Court referred the matter to Magistrate Judge Barbara

"make a de novo determination of those portions of the report to which objection is made," and "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the finding or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also United States v. Remsing, 874 F.2d 614, 617 (9th Cir. 1989). However, in the absence of timely objection(s), the Court "need only satisfy itself that there is no clear

1

24

25

26

27

28

11cv1107

error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), Advisory Committee Notes (1983); see also United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003). Neither party has timely filed objections to Magistrate Judge Major's report and recommendation. (See Doc. 37 at 8 (objections due by June 8, 2012).) Having reviewed the report and recommenda-tion, the Court finds that it is thorough, well reasoned, and contains no clear error. Accordingly, the Court hereby (1) **ADOPTS** Magistrate Judge Major's report and recommendation, (2) **GRANTS** Defendants' motion to enforce the terms of the settlement, and (3) **DISMISSES** the instant case with prejudice. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: June 27, 2012 Hon. Anthony J. Battaglia U.S. District Judge